PATENT COOPERATION TREAT



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2707II PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)								
International application No. PCT/EP2003/008119	International filing date (day/month/yea 24 July 2003 (24.07.2003)	r) Priority date (day/month/year) 29 July 2002 (29.07.2002)							
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC F16D 65/56									
Applicant KNORR-BREMSE SYSTEME FÜR NUTZFAHRZEUGE GMBH									
and is transmitted to the applicant at 2. This REPORT consists of a total of This report is also accompanamended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	ccording to Article 36. 7 sheets, including this co	ription, claims and/or drawings which have been ifications made before this Authority (see Rule							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: I Basis of the report II Priority III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV Lack of unity of invention V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI Certain documents cited VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application									
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report							
22 December 2003 (22.1 Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized office	November 2004 (04.11.2004)							
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.								

Translation



" INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Interior al application No.
PCT/EP2003/008119

I.	I. Basis of the report								
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*									
		the inte	rnational application as originally filed						
	\boxtimes	the desc	cription:						
		pages	1-14	, as originally filed					
		pages		, filed with the demand					
		pages		ed with the letter of					
	M	the clair							
				an antainalla filad					
		pages pages		, as originally filed , as amended (together with any statement under Article 19					
		pages .		, filed with the demand					
		pages	1-21 gu	ed with the letter of20 October 2004 (20.10.2004)					
				ed with the letter of					
		the drav	wings:	·					
		pages							
		pages	119 919	, filed with the demand					
		pages		ed with the letter of					
		the seque	ence listing part of the description:						
		pages		, as originally filed					
		pages		, filed with the demand					
		pages	, fil	ed with the letter of					
2.	the i	internation se elemen the lang	nal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under ats were available or furnished to this Authority in the follonguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international application (under aguage of publication of the international application (under aguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of in	wing language which is: tional search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international application was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form.								
		furnish	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
		furnish	ned subsequently to this Authority in computer readable for	m.					
			tatement that the subsequently furnished written sequentional application as filed has been furnished.	ence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the					
			ratement that the information recorded in computer read curnished.	able form is identical to the written sequence listing has					
4.		The am	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
			the description, pages						
		_	the claims, Nos.						
			the drawings, sheets/fig						
5.			port has been established as if (some of) the amendments the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental B	had not been made, since they have been considered to go ox (Rule 70.2(c)).**					
*	in t	lacement s his report 70.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office i t as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this re	n response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to port since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16					
**		•	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to	under item 1 and annexed to this report.					



PCT/EP2003/008119

IV. Lack of unity of invention						
1. In response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:						
restricted the claims.						
paid additional fees.						
paid additional fees under protest.						
neither restricted nor paid additional fees.						
This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, not to invite the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.						
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with.						
not complied with for the following reasons:						
indicomplied with for the following reasons.						
 Consequently, the following parts of the international application were the subject of international preliminary examination in establishing this report: 						
all parts.						
the parts relating to claims Nos.						

Reasoned statement under Article 3 citations and explanations supporting	oned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ons and explanations supporting such statement				
Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-21	YES		
	Claims		NO		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	14, 18	YES		
	Claims	1-13, 15-17, 19-21	NO		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-21	YES		
	Claims		 NO		

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - This report makes reference to the following documents:
 - D1: DE 94 10 454 U (LUCAS INDUSTRIES), 2 November 1995 (1995-11-02)
 - D2: EP-A-1 160 476 (HALDEX BRAKE PRODUCTS), 5
 December 2001 (2001-12-05)
 - D3: DE 197 06 298 A (LUCAS INDUSTRIES), 16 October 1997 (1997-10-16)
 - D4: DE 39 19 179 A (LUCAS INDUSTRIES), 13 December 1990 (1990-12-13)
 - D5: US-A-5 722 516 (FORNI ET AL.), 3 March 1998 (1998-03-03)
 - D6: WO 02/14125 A (KNORR-BREMSE SYSTEME FÜR NUTZFAHRZEUGE), 21 February 2002 (2002-02-21)
 - D7: WO 01/36837 A (SKF ENGINEERING AND RESEARCH CENTRE), 25 May 2001 (2001-05-25)
 - D8: US-A-3 486 589 (HILLEGASS), 30 December 1969 (1969-12-30)

Document D3 was not cited in the international search report. A copy of that document is attached.

2. The present application does not meet the

requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the subject matter of claims 1 and 19 does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

3. Claim 1:

Q.

3.1 Document D1 is considered to constitute the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 1 and discloses (the references in parentheses are to that document):

a pneumatically (page 6, line 3) actuated disk brake for an utility vehicle having

- a) a calliper that grips over a brake disk,
- b) at least one application device (10) arranged in the brake calliper for applying a force to the disk brake,
- at least one re-adjusting device arranged in the brake calliper to compensate the wear of the brake lining and/or brake disk by adjusting the distance between at least one brake lining (3, 4) and the brake disk, the re-adjusting device comprising two axially movable re-adjusting elements (34) each having a compression piece (zone of the element (34) with enlarged diameter; page 3, lines 34, 35);
- d) the two re-adjusting elements of the at least one re-adjusting device being secured against rotation (page 3, last line) by their zone facing the corresponding brake lining to a common connection plate (48), and
- the connection plate being designed as a thermal insulation plate (478) sized to cover to a large extent the opening of a mounting chamber in the brake calliper in which the re-

adjusting device is placed.

- 3.2 This type of brake is also known from D2 (in which the connection plate necessarily also acts as a thermal insulation plate, even if this is unintended) and D3 (see, in particular, column 2, lines 8-11, and figures 1, 4 and 5).
- 3.3 The subject matter of claim 1 therefore differs from the known brakes in that:
 - e) a heat-insulating layer in one or several parts is applied to the face of the connection plate and/or compression piece that faces the corresponding brake lining.

This reduces heat transfer towards the inside of the brake calliper and hence can be considered to be the problem addressed.

- 3.4 The solution proposed in claim 1 cannot be considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)) because the use of heat-insulating layers to reduce heat transfer is a generally known and conventional measure (see, for example, D4) which a person skilled in the art would readily apply to a brake as per D1, D2 or D3.
- 4. Claim 19 (if dependent on the preamble of claim 1):
- 4.1 Document D5 is considered to constitute the prior art closest to the subject matter of claim 19 and discloses (the references in parentheses are to that document):

- a disk brake for an utility vehicle having
- a) a brake calliper (not illustrated but necessarily present) that grips over a brake disk (36),
- b) at least one application device (24) arranged in the brake calliper for applying a force to the disk brake,
- at least one re-adjusting device (26, 28, 44) arranged in the brake calliper to compensate the wear of the brake lining and/or brake disk by adjusting the distance between at least one brake lining (32, 34) and the brake disk, the re-adjusting device comprising two axially movable re-adjusting elements (28) each having a compression piece,

and in addition

- d) the two re-adjusting elements of the at least one re-adjusting device are secured against rotation (column 2, lines 60, 61) to a common connection plate (30) by their zone facing the corresponding brake lining, and
- the compression pieces and lining supports
 (32) are interconnected (column 2, lines 23,
 25: "secured to...") in such a way that
- the withdrawal (column 2, lines 27, 28: "kept slightly out of contact...") of the brake linings is ensured when the re-adjusting elements are turned back and the brake is loosened.
- 4. The subject matter of claim 19 thus differs from that known brake only in that the disk brake is pneumatically and/or electromechanically actuated. However, both types of actuation are generally known (and have no technical relationship with the claimed

connection between the compression piece and lining support). The subject matter of claim 19 therefore doe not involve an inventive step.

- 5. Dependent claims 2-13, 15-17, 19 ("according to one of the preceding claims"), 20 and 21 evidently do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT novelty and inventive step requirements. The reasons therefor are as follows:
- 5.1 The subject matter of claims 2, 5 and 7 is suggested by the combination of documents D2 and D4.
- 5.2 D6 describes a brake having the additional features of claims 3 and 4.
- 5.3 Claim 6: ceramic is a generally known heatinsulating material; see, for example, D7.
- 5.4 Claim 19 (if dependent on one of the preceding claims):

 The same explanations as in point 4 apply; the claimed connection between the compression piece and the lining support can be applied to a brake as per D1, D2 or D3 without an inventive step.
- 5.5 Claim 20: D5 does not mention how the compression piece and the lining support are interconnected, and hence a person skilled in the art would seek for corresponding solutions in the prior art.

He would find in D8 a suitable connection (having the additional features of claim 20) and apply it to a brake of the type known from D8. He would thus

arrive at the subject matter of claim 20 without being inventive.

- 5.6 Claim 21 relates only to an obvious modification of the connection between compression piece and lining support which is known from D8.
- 5.7 Claims 9-14 and 15-17 appear to relate only to minor structural details; the subject matter of these claims thus evidently does not involve an inventive step.
- 6. The prior art neither describes nor suggests the subject matter of claim 14 (which enables the compression plate to be easily mounted) and claim 18 (which enables the heat-dependent expansion of the connection plate to be compensated).
- 7. The subject matter of claims 1-21 can be produced and is thus industrially applicable under PCT Article 33(4).